(DATA REQUEST CALADVOCATES-AW-SCG-2020-08)

Date Received: December 31, 2020

Date Submitted: January 6, 2021

GENERAL OBJECTIONS AND OBJECTIONS TO "INSTRUCTIONS"

- 1. SoCalGas objects to the Instructions and Definitions submitted by Cal Advocates on the grounds that they are overbroad and unduly burdensome. Special interrogatory instructions of this nature are expressly prohibited by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.060(d). SoCalGas further objects to the Instructions to the extent they purport to impose requirements exceeding that required by CPUC General Order 66-D or the Discovery Custom and Practice Guidelines provided by the CPUC.
- 2. SoCalGas objects to the Data Request's imposition of a deadline of January 6, 2021 as unduly burdensome and unreasonable, particularly given the holidays.
- 3. The highlighted sentence in the second paragraph under "General" states that if SoCalGas "acquire[s] additional information after providing an answer to any request, [it] must supplement [its] response following the receipt of such additional information." SoCalGas objects to this instruction on the grounds that it is a continuing interrogatory expressly prohibited by Code of Civil Procedure § 2030.060(g), has no basis in the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, and exceeds that required by the Discovery Custom and Practice Guidelines provided by the CPUC.
- 4. The highlighted paragraph under "Responses" purports to require SoCalGas identify "the person providing the answer to each question and his/her contact information." SoCalGas objects to this instruction because it has no basis in the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure and exceeds that required by the Discovery Custom and Practice Guidelines provided by the CPUC.
- 5. The highlighted portion of the paragraph under "Requests for Clarification" purports to require SoCalGas to notify Cal Advocates "within five (5) business days" if "a request, definition, or an instruction is unclear"; the highlighted paragraph under "Objections" purports to require SoCalGas to "submit specific objections, including the specific legal basis to the objection . . . within five (5) business days"; and the highlighted portion of the paragraph under "Assertions of Privilege" in the "Instructions" section of this Request further purports to require SoCalGas to "assert any privilege for documents responsive to this data request . . . within five (5) business days." SoCalGas objects to these requirements as unduly burdensome and unreasonable as SoCalGas cannot determine which aspects of the Request need clarification, formulate objections or identify privileged information and documents until SoCalGas has otherwise completed its investigation and prepared its response to the Request.
- 6. The highlighted paragraph under "Assertions of Confidentiality" purports to require SoCalGas, "[i]f it assert[s] confidentiality for any of the information provided," to "please identify the information that is confidential with highlights and provide a specific explanation of the basis for each such assertion." SoCalGas objects to this request the extent it purports to impose requirements exceeding the process for submitting confidential information to the Commission outlined in GO 66-D § 3, has no basis in the Code of Civil Procedure or the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, and exceeds that required by the Discovery Custom and Practice Guidelines provided by the CPUC.

(DATA REQUEST CALADVOCATES-AW-SCG-2020-08)

Date Received: December 31, 2020

Date Submitted: January 6, 2021

- 7. The first highlighted paragraph under "Signed Declaration" purports to require SoCalGas to provide "a signed declaration from a responsible officer or an attorney under penalty of perjury that [SoCalGas has] used all reasonable diligence in preparation of the data response, and that to the best of [his or her] knowledge, it is true and complete." SoCalGas objects to this instruction because it has no basis in the Code of Civil Procedure or the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, and exceeds that required by the Discovery Custom and Practice Guidelines provided by the CPUC. SoCalGas further objects to the extent it purports to limit SoCalGas from amending its responses should additional information be later discovered. SoCalGas reserves its right to amend its responses to these requests should additional information relevant to SoCalGas's responses is discovered at a later date.
- 8. SoCalGas objects to the second highlighted paragraph under "Signed Declaration" to the extent it purports to impose requirements exceeding the process for submitting confidential information to the Commission outlined in GO 66-D § 3, has no basis in the Code of Civil Procedure or the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, and exceeds that required by the Discovery Custom and Practice Guidelines provided by the CPUC. SoCalGas further objects to this paragraph as unduly interfering with the attorney-client relationship and forcing waiver of the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrines. This violates Evidence Code sections 954, 955, 915, and 912, and exceeds the power of the Commission by seeking to modify the legislatively mandated privilege. It further violates Cal. Code Civ. Pro. sections 128.7, 2018.030(a), and 2031.250(a), and as such exceeds the power of the Commission by setting rules in conflict with statute.
- 9. SoCalGas objects to the definition of "you," "your(s)," "Company," "SCG," and "SoCalGas" to the extent it seeks information from Sempra Energy. SoCalGas also objects to any attempt to require SoCalGas to respond on behalf of San Diego Gas & Electric Company ("SDG&E"). The responses below are made on behalf of SoCalGas only.

(DATA REQUEST CALADVOCATES-AW-SCG-2020-08)

Date Received: December 31, 2020

Date Submitted: January 6, 2021

QUESTION 1:

Please provide a copy of the text message sent by Californians for Balanced Energy Solutions (C4BES) to Santa Barbara residents.

RESPONSE 1:

See Response to Question 5 below.

QUESTION 2:

Please explain how San Barbara residents' phone numbers were obtained to send the C4BES text message.

RESPONSE 2:

See Response to Question 5 below.

QUESTION 3:

Please identify when the message or messages were sent and how many people they were sent to.

RESPONSE 3:

See Response to Question 5 below.

QUESTION 4:

Please identify all other cities where similar text messages have been sent to residents of those communities.

RESPONSE 4:

See Response to Question 5 below.

(DATA REQUEST CALADVOCATES-AW-SCG-2020-08)

Date Received: December 31, 2020

Date Submitted: January 6, 2021

QUESTION 5:

Did Sempra Energy, SoCalGas or SDG&E facilitate the delivery of the text messages in any manner, whether direct or indirect?

RESPONSE 5:

SoCalGas incorporates herein the General Objections and Objections to "Instructions" stated above. Subject to and without waiving its objections, SoCalGas responds as follows: SoCalGas did not facilitate the delivery of the text message referenced in Question 1. Therefore, SoCalGas lacks personal knowledge to respond to Questions 1 through 4.